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## MOTIVATION

Neural networks have been very successful for learning tasks on various data types including image data and phonetic data

But it is hard to find well-defined discrete and algorithmic tasks where neural networks have been successfully applied
Inspired by number series tests for human intelligence, number sequence prediction tasks can assess computational powers of neural networks

## OBJECTIVES

Define a set of algorithmic machine learning tasks with numerical sequences

Quantify the complexities of simulating the sequence generation rules

Evaluate computational powers of current deep learning models

## TWO TYPES OF PROBLEMS

Number-level (CNN)
Digit-level (RNN)


Digits in a 2-dimensional grid
Predict the numbers in parallel

A digit input per a time step Predict the digits sequentially

## COMPUTATIONAL POWERS



DIFFICULTY AND COMPLEXITY
The number of logical gates and the depth of the circuit


Order-2
Width $=\theta\left(b^{2}\right)$
Depth $=1$


Order-3
Width $=\theta\left(b^{3}\right)$
Depth $=1$


Order-2
Width $=\theta\left(b^{2}\right)$ Depth $=2$

NUMBER-LEVEL SEQUENCE PREDICTION

Models


Sequences
Order-2 Relations
Fibonacci: $A_{n+2}=A_{n+1}+A_{n}$

- Arithmetic: $A_{n+2}=2 A_{n+1}-A_{n}$

Order-3 Relations

- Progression: $A_{n+3}=3 A_{n+2}-3 A_{n+1}+A_{n}$
- Jumping Fibonacci: $A_{n+3}=A_{n+2}+A_{n}$

Results


Y-axis: error rates / X-axis: \# of training examples Depth is a better indicator for the complexity CNNs tend to learn deep but narrow rules

## DIGIT-LEVEL SEQUENCE PREDICTION <br> Models <br> Sequences

Recurrent model


Counting sequences $\left(A_{n+1}=A_{n}+c\right)$

- Finite automata

Palindromes (e.g. abcd_dcba)
Pushdown automata
Fibonacci/Arithmetic/Geometric
Queue automata (= Turing)

## Results

| Tasks | Reverse-order (training) | Geometric | Arithmetic | Fibonacci |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LSTM | $28.4 \%(1.2 \%)$ | $79.4 \%$ | $77.1 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ |
| GRU | $51.9 \%(0.9 \%)$ | $69.0 \%$ | $77.1 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |
| Attention(unidirectional) | $42.0 \%(8.8 \%)$ | $62.8 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ |
| Attention(bidirectional) | $0.0 \%(0.0 \%)$ | $51.0 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| Stack-RNN | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}(0.0 \%)$ | $64.1 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ |
| NTM | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}(0.0 \%)$ | $57.1 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ |

Reverse-order (palindrome) training errors suggest that RNNs can simulate finite automata
Memory-augmented models could simulate up to pushdown automata

## TAKEAWAYS

- Number sequence predictions effectively evaluate computational powers of neural networks

Complexity of a number-level problem can be defined with the combinatorial logic

Computational powers of current recurrent models are limited up to those of pushdown automata

